

Effect of Energy Levels in the Diet of Large White Yorkshire Pigs on Growth and Feed Intake Pattern

N. Elanchezhian^{1*} and K. Ally²

¹Professor, Department of Animal Nutrition, Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Veterinary Education and Research, Kurumbapet – 605009, Puducherry, INDIA

²Professor and Head, Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Mannuthy – 680651, Thrissur, Kerala, INDIA

*Corresponding Author: elanmozhi@gmail.com

How to cite this paper:

Elanchezhian, N., & Ally, K. (2020). Effect of Energy Levels in the Diet of Large White Yorkshire Pigs on Growth and Feed Intake Pattern. *International Journal of Livestock Research*, 10(7), 78-84. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/ijlr.20200507115154>

Received : May 07, 2020
Accepted : Jun 02, 2020
Published : Jul 31, 2020

Copyright © Elanchezhian *et al.*, 2020

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

Experiment was conducted to study the effect of different levels of energy in the diet of Large White Yorkshire pigs on growth and feed intake pattern. Twenty weaned female Large White Yorkshire piglets were randomly divided into two groups and allotted to the two dietary treatments, T1-control ration: as per NRC, 2012 and T2-high energy ration: control ration + 400 kcal/kg of ME and maintained for 70 days. The daily feed intake, fortnightly body weight were recorded and average daily gain and feed conversion efficiency (%) were calculated. The average daily gain and feed conversion efficiency were 769.86, 828.14 and 2.88, 2.57 respectively for two dietary treatments. The pigs of T2 had higher ($P<0.05$) average daily gain and feed conversion efficiency than that of T1 treatment. The high energy ration (3665 kcal/kg) increased the average daily gain and reduced total feed intake thereby improved in feed conversion efficiency in the growing Large White Yorkshire female pigs compared to the ration containing energy as per NRC (2012).

Keywords: Energy Levels in Pig, Feed Efficiency in Pigs, Growth and Feed Intake

Introduction

Energy is one of the costliest factors in commercial pork production. Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR, 1985) recommended digestible energy (DE) levels of 3100 and 3000 kcal/kg feed for pigs weighing 5 to 10 and 10 to 60 kg, respectively. The National Research Council (NRC, 2012) recommended 3400 kcal of DE or 3265 kcal of ME per kg diet for pigs of all age groups. When pigs were given increasing levels of energy (13.3, 14.0 and 14.7 MJ of DE/kg feed) the average daily gain and gain to feed ratio increased linearly (Kyriazakis and Emmans, 1992; Nam and Aherne, 1994). Significant improvement in feed efficiency was observed in pigs as the energy level was increased from 3.23 to 3.39 Mcal of DE/kg feed (Balogun *et al.*, 1988), from 3.5 to 3.78 Mcal of DE/kg feed (Lawrence *et al.*, 1994; Chang, 2000), from 5.4 to 8.1 Mcal of ME/day (Liao and Venum, 1994), from 9.5 and 10.1 Mcal of ME intake per day (Williams *et al.*, 1994), from 12.0 to 14.4 MJ of DE/kg feed (Henman *et al.*, 1999), from 3.35 to 3.61 Mcal of DE/kg feed (Llata *et al.*, 2001), from 3034 to 4384 kcal of DE/kg feed (Ding *et al.*, 2003), from 14.5 to 16.4 MJ of DE/kg in barrows (Campbell, 2005) and from 3.09 to 3.57 Mcal of DE/kg feed (Beaulieu *et al.*, 2009).

Higher average daily gain was observed in pigs fed diets containing 14.5 MJ of ME/kg of feed than that fed 13.5 MJ of ME/kg of feed (Urynek and Buraczewska, 2003). Pigs fed with ration containing 351 KJ of DE/kgW^{0.75} had better average daily gain than that of 506 or 566 KJ of DE/kgW^{0.75} per day (King *et al.*, 2004). Cho *et al.* (2008) reported that increasing energy content of the diets to 3 times maintenance requirement resulted in significantly higher feed intake, daily body weight gain and feed to gain ratio in pigs compared with those fed at 1.8 times maintenance energy. The availability of findings is scanty and also with high variation prompted to undertake this study to find out effect of different energy levels in the diet of Large White Yorkshire pigs on growth and feed intake pattern.

Materials and Methods

Twenty weaned female Large White Yorkshire piglets were randomly divided into two groups with five replicates in each group. Each replicate was allotted with two piglets and housed in a single pen. All piglets were maintained under identical management conditions throughout the experimental period of 70 days.

Experimental Rations

Restricted feeding was followed by allowing them to consume twice daily as much as they could, within a period of one hour and the balance feed was collected and weighed after each feeding. Daily feed intake was recorded. The animals were fed with standard grower ration containing 18 per cent of crude protein (CP) and 3265 kcal of metabolizable energy (ME)/kg of feed up to 50 kg body weight and finisher ration with 16 per cent CP and 3265 kcal of ME /kg of feed from 50 kg body weight as per NRC (2012). The two groups of piglets were randomly allotted to the two dietary treatments, T1-control ration: as per NRC, 2012 and T2- high energy ration: control ration + 400 kcal/kg of ME. Ingredient and chemical composition of pig grower and finisher rations were given in the Table 1 and 2.

Feed Intake, Body Weight and Feed Efficiency

Weighed quantities of feed were offered twice a day at 9.00 am and 3.00 pm. After adding feed in the manger little water was sprinkled over to moistening. The feed intake was measured daily after collecting the left-over feed if any and body weight of the individual animals were taken fortnightly in the morning hours before feeding. Then average daily gain and feed conversion efficiency was calculated. Data collected on various parameters were statistically analyzed by Completely Randomized Design (CRD) method and means were compared by Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) using Statistical Package for Social Studies (SPSS, 2008) 17.0.1V software (Snedecor and Cochran, 1994).

Table 1: Ingredient composition of pig grower and finisher rations, %

Ingredients	Experimental Grower Rations		Experimental Finisher Rations	
	T1	T2	T1	T2
Yellow maize	35	70	37	74
Wheat bran	31	1.5	34.7	3.6
Soyabean meal	25.5	26.25	19.7	20.5
Animal fat	6.5	5	7	5
Salt	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Dicalcium phosphate	0.4	0.9	0.1	0.65
Calcite	1.1	0.85	1	0.75
Total	100	105	100	105
Nicomix AB ₂ D ₃ K ¹ , g	25	25	25	25
Nicomix BE ² , g	25	25	25	25
Zinc Oxide ³ , g	13	45	0	30
Oxylock antioxidant ⁴ , g	10	10	10	10
Cost per kg feed, Rs.		19.37		18.3

¹Nicomix A, B₂, D₃, K (Nicholas Piramal India Ltd, Mumbai) containing Vitamin A- 82,500 IU, Vitamin B₂-50 mg, Vitamin D₃-12,000 IU and Vitamin K-10 mg per gram; ²Nicomix BE (Nicholas Piramal India Ltd, Mumbai) containing Vitamin B₁-4 mg, Vitamin B₆-8 mg, Vitamin B₁₂-40 mg, Niacin-60 mg, Calcium pantothenate- 40 mg and Vitamin E-40 mg per gram; ³Zinc oxide (Nice Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., Kochi) containing 81.38% of Zn; ⁴Oxylock antioxidant (Vetline Ltd., Indore) contains Ethoxyquin, Butylated HydroxyToluene (BHT), Chelators and Surfactant.

Table 2: Chemical composition* of grower and finisher rations

Parameters	Treatments (Grower Ration)		Treatments (Finisher Ration)	
	T1	T2	T1	T2
Dry matter, %	90.56±0.11	89.10±0.13	90.41±0.17	89.10±0.06
Crude protein, %	18.18±0.17	17.88±0.17	16.28±0.06	15.76±0.12
Ether extract, %	8.53±0.09	7.75±0.06	9.04±0.11	8.05±0.04
Crude fibre, %	6.58±0.13	3.41±0.07	6.54±0.10	3.52±0.13
Total ash, %	9.50±0.20	5.45±0.24	9.54±0.12	5.23±0.10
Nitrogen free extract, %	57.21±0.21	65.51±0.31	58.60±0.30	67.44±0.12
Acid insoluble ash, %	4.51±0.09	1.05±0.05	4.29±0.13	0.93±0.06
GE, kcal/kg	4134.95 ±14.98	4436.27± 10.62	4203.07±17.05	4390.61±31.34
Calcium, %	0.62±0.006	0.58±0.006	0.65±0.01	0.60±0.007
Phosphorus, %	0.71±0.01	0.64±0.06	0.72±0.02	0.54±0.02
Magnesium, %	0.24±0.009	0.14±0.004	0.25±0.01	0.13±0.01
Manganese, ppm	39.14±1.76	15.92±0.25	38.76±0.96	15.91±0.01
Copper, ppm	9.34±0.06	6.30±0.10	9.17±0.08	6.10±0.20
Zinc, ppm	67.19±2.23	65.56±0.91	64.95±1.47	67.45±2.18

*On DM basis; Each value is the mean of four values with SE

Results and Discussion

Data on weekly average feed intake of pigs given the two experimental rations T1 and T2 and are presented in Table 3. The total feed intake recorded for two treatments were 154.98 and 148.61 kg, respectively. No significant difference in weekly feed intake except ninth and tenth week, during these period pigs in T2 had lower feed intake than T1. Overall high energy diet (T2) resulted in significantly lower ($P<0.01$) feed intake compared to control group, which may due to high energy density (3665 kcal ME/kg) of the ration. The ability of animals to regulate the feed intake is based on the energy content of the feed and gut capacity of pigs (Azain, 2000; Ellis and Augspurger,

2000). Addition of fat to the diet of pigs increases energy density and results in a reduction in feed intake to maintain a constant DE intake (Ewan, 2000). Increase in energy concentration is usually associated with a reduction in voluntary feed intake in pigs (Noblet, 2006).

Table 3: Average feed intake (kg) of LWY pigs maintained on the two experimental rations

Week	Feed Intake ¹		Cumulative Feed Intake ¹	
	T1	T2	T1	T2
1	9.59±0.24	9.12±0.19	9.59±0.24	9.12±0.19
2	10.30±0.30	9.84±0.22	19.89±0.54	18.96±0.39
3	12.60±0.39	12.11±0.47	32.49±0.92	31.07±0.85
4	13.29±0.53	13.74±0.54	45.78±1.40	44.81±1.37
5	16.70±0.66	16.62±0.52	62.48±2.01	61.43±1.88
6	17.38±0.66	16.76±0.34	79.86±2.64	78.19±2.20
7	17.74±0.39	17.13±0.33	97.60±3.01	95.32±2.49
8	16.72±0.36	17.90±0.36	114.32±3.32	113.22±2.69
9	19.05±0.66 ^b	17.56±0.26 ^a	133.37±3.94 ^b	130.78±2.89 ^a
10	21.61±0.49 ^b	17.83±0.27 ^a	154.98±4.42 ^b	148.61±3.12 ^a
Average total feed intake	154.98±4.42 ^b	148.61±3.12 ^a	154.98±4.42 ^b	148.61±3.12 ^a

¹Mean of 5 observations; ^{a, b} - Means of different superscripts within the same row differ significantly; Significant ($P < 0.05$)

The data on the body weight and weight gain of pigs are presented in Table 4. The average initial, final body weight and average daily gain of piglets belonging to two groups were 23.96, 24.18 kg; 77.85, 82.15 kg, and 769.86, 828.14 g, respectively. The statistical analysis of the data revealed significant difference in the average body weight and weight gain between two treatments. High energy content of the ration over and above the requirement had significantly higher body weight and average daily gain.

Table 4: Average body weight (kg) gain of LWY pigs maintained on the two experimental rations

Fortnight	Weight Gain ¹		Cumulative Weight Gain ¹	
	T1	T2	T1	T2
Initial body weight	23.96±1.55	24.18±1.12		
1	10.13±0.27	10.39±0.47	10.13±0.27	10.39±0.47
2	9.53±0.46 ^a	10.95±0.47 ^b	19.66±0.70 ^a	21.34±0.74 ^b
3	11.53±0.49 ^a	12.27±0.45 ^b	31.19±1.04 ^a	33.61±0.95 ^b
4	9.9±0.27 ^a	11.31±0.26 ^b	41.09±1.06 ^a	44.92±1.14 ^b
5	12.80±0.41 ^a	13.05±0.81 ^b	53.89±0.85 ^a	57.97±1.88 ^b
Final body weight	77.85±2.35 ^a	82.15±2.77 ^b		

¹Mean of 5 observations; Significant ($P < 0.05$); ^{a, b} - Means of different superscripts within the same row differ significantly; Significant ($P < 0.05$)

The data on the fortnightly feed conversion efficiency and their cumulative values of pigs under two treatment groups are presented in Table 5. The overall feed conversion efficiency recorded was 2.88 and 2.57, respectively for T1 and T2. Statistically T2 treatment had higher feed conversion efficiency than that of T1 treatment for overall period and also in all the fortnight except in first and third fortnight, in this period both the treatment had similar feed conversion efficiency. Higher energy ration in the T2 treatment reduced the total feed intake and yielded higher body weight so could yield better feed conversion efficiency compared to control group fed diet with normal energy level.

Table 5: Average feed conversion efficiency of LWY pigs maintained on the two experimental rations

Fortnight	Feed Conversion Efficiency ¹		Cumulative Feed Conversion Efficiency ¹	
	T1	T2	T1	T2
1	1.97±0.06	1.83±0.05	1.97±0.06	1.83±0.05
2	2.73±0.07 ^b	2.37±0.07 ^a	2.33±0.05 ^b	2.10±0.17 ^a
3	2.97±0.12	2.74±0.13	2.56±0.05 ^b	2.33±0.04 ^a
4	3.49±0.11 ^b	3.10±0.08 ^a	2.78±0.05 ^b	2.52±0.05 ^a
5	3.19±0.16 ^b	2.75±0.15 ^a	2.88±0.05 ^b	2.57±0.04 ^a

¹Mean of 5 observations; ^{a, b} - Means of different superscripts within the same row differ significantly; Significant ($P < 0.05$)

Average daily gain and feed conversion efficiency of growing pigs maintained on the two experimental grower rations T1 and T2 are presented in Table 6. The average total weight gain and average daily gain of these pigs during growing stage was 25.49 and 27.73 kg; 728.29 and 792.29 g, respectively for two treatments. The total feed intake of grower ration and feed conversion efficiency was 62.48 and 61.43 kg; 2.45 and 2.22, respectively for two treatments. The treatment T2 had significantly higher average daily gain and better feed conversion efficiency than that of T1 treatment due to higher energy content in the T2 ration.

Table 6: Average daily gain and feed conversion efficiency of LWY pigs maintained on the two experimental rations

Parameters	Growing Period		Finishing Period		Overall Period	
	T1	T2	T1	T2	T1	T2
Average initial body weight, kg	23.96±1.55	24.18±1.12	49.45±2.41 ^a	51.91±1.85 ^b	23.96±1.55	24.18±1.12
Average final body weight, kg	49.45±2.41 ^a	51.91±1.85 ^b	77.85±2.35 ^a	82.15±2.77 ^b	77.85±2.35 ^a	82.15±2.77 ^b
Total weight gain, kg	25.49±0.92 ^a	27.73±0.82 ^b	28.4±0.29 ^a	30.24±1.11 ^b	53.89±0.85 ^a	57.97±1.88 ^b
Average daily weight gain, g	728.29±26.28 ^a	792.29±23.42 ^b	811.43±8.37 ^a	864±31.67 ^b	769.86±12.16 ^a	828.14±26.80 ^b
Total feed intake, kg	62.48±2.01 ^b	61.43±1.88 ^a	92.5±2.50 ^b	87.18±1.42 ^a	154.98±4.42 ^b	148.61±3.12 ^a
Feed conversion efficiency	2.45±0.03 ^b	2.22±0.04 ^a	3.26±0.10 ^b	2.89±0.07 ^a	2.88±0.05 ^b	2.57±0.04 ^a

¹Mean of 5 observations with SE; ^{a, b} - Means with different superscripts within the same row differ significantly; Significant ($P < 0.05$)

Average daily gain and feed conversion efficiency of growing pigs maintained on the two experimental finisher rations T1 and T2 are presented in Table 6. The average total weight gain and average daily gain of these pigs during growing stage was 28.40 and 30.24 kg; 811.43 and 864.00g, respectively for two treatments. The total feed intake of grower ration and feed conversion efficiency was 92.50 and 87.18 kg; 3.26 and 2.89, respectively for two treatments. The treatment T2 had significantly high average daily gain better feed conversion efficiency than that of T1 treatment due to higher energy content in the T2 ration.

Average daily gain and feed conversion efficiency of growing pigs maintained on the two experimental finisher rations T1 and T2 are presented in Table 6. The average total weight gain and average daily gain of these pigs during growing stage (growing and finishing period) was 53.89 and 57.97 kg; 769.86 and 828.14 g, (overall and finishing period) respectively for two treatments. The total feed intake of grower ration (growing and finishing period) and feed conversion efficiency was 154.98 and 148.61 kg; 2.88 and 2.57, (overall and finishing period) respectively for two treatments. The treatment T2 had significantly lower feed intake, higher average daily gain and better feed conversion efficiency than that of T1 treatment. Higher energy ration in the T2 treatment reduced the total feed intake and increased the body weight, so could resulted in better feed conversion efficiency compared to control group fed diet with normal energy level. This is in agreement with the findings of Cera *et al.* (1989) and Apple *et al.* (2008). Significant improvement in feed efficiency was observed in pigs as the energy level was increased from

3.23 to 3.39 Mcal of DE/kg feed (Balogun *et al.*, 1988), from 3.5 to 3.78 Mcal of DE/kg feed (Lawrence *et al.*, 1994; Chang, 2000), from 5.4 to 8.1 Mcal of ME/day (Liao and Venum, 1994), from 9.5 and 10.1 Mcal of ME intake per day (Williams *et al.*, 1994), from 12.0 to 14.4 MJ of DE/kg feed (Henman *et al.*, 1999), from 3.35 to 3.61 Mcal of DE/kg feed (Llata *et al.*, 2001), from 3034 to 4384 kcal of DE/kg feed (Ding *et al.*, 2003), from 14.5 to 16.4 MJ of DE kg in barrows (Campbell, 2005) and from 3.09 to 3.57 Mcal of DE/kg feed (Beaulieu *et al.*, 2009). Higher average daily gain was observed in pigs fed diets containing 14.5 MJ of ME/kg of feed than that fed 13.5 MJ of ME/kg of feed (Urynek and Buraczewska, 2003). Pigs fed with ration containing 351 KJ of DE/kgW^{0.75} had better average daily gain than that of 506 or 566 KJ of DE/kgW^{0.75} per day (King *et al.*, 2004). Cho *et al.* (2008) reported that increasing energy content of the diets to 3 times maintenance requirement resulted in significantly higher feed intake, daily body weight gain and feed to gain ratio in pigs compared with those fed at 1.8 times maintenance energy.

Conclusion

The high energy ration (3665 kcal/kg) increased the average daily gain and reduced total feed intake thereby improved feed conversion efficiency in the growing Large White Yorkshire female pigs compared to the ration containing energy as per NRC (2012).

Acknowledgment

The authors are very much thankful to Dean, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Mannuthy for providing necessary facilities for successful conduct of the work.

Conflict of Interests

There is no conflict of interest.

Publisher Disclaimer

IJLR remains neutral concerning jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

References

1. Apple, J.K., Maxwell, C.V., Kutz, B.R., Rakes, L.K., Sawyer, J.T., Johnson, Z.B., Armstrong, T.A., Carr, S. N. and Matzat, P.D. (2008). Interactive effect of ractopamine and dietary fat source on pork quality characteristics of fresh pork chops during simulated retail display. *Journal of Animal Science* (on line). Available: <http://jas.fass.org/content/early/2008/05/23/jas.2007-0327>.
2. Azain, M.J. (2001). Fat in swine nutrition. In: Lewis, A.J. and Southern, L.L. (eds.), *Swine Nutrition* (2nd Ed.). CRC press, Florida, USA, pp. 95-105.
3. Beaulieu, A.D., Williams, N.H. and Patience, J.F. (2009). Response to dietary digestible energy concentration in growing pigs fed cereal grain-based diets. *Journal of Animal Science*. 87: 965-976.
4. Balogun, T.K., Keripe, O.M., Olumeyan, J.B. and Vmunna, N.N. (1988). Response of growing pigs to various dietary energy levels in a tropical environment. *Journal Animal Production Research*. 8(2): 88-103.
5. Campbell, R.G. (2005). Fats in pig diets: beyond their contribution to energy content *Recent Advances Animal Nutrition, Australia*. 15: 15-19.
6. Cera, K.R., Mahan, D.C. and Reinhart, G.A. (1989). Apparent fat digestibilities and performance responses of postweaning swine fed diets supplemented with coconut oil, corn oil and tallow. *Journal of Animal Science*. 67: 2040-2047.
7. Chang, W.H., Kim, J.D., Xuan, Z.N., Cho, W.T., Han, I.K., Chae, B.J. and Paik, I.k.(2000). Optimal lysine:DE ratio for growing pigs of different sexes. *Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science*. 13(1): 31-38.
8. Cho, S.B., Kim, D.W., Baek, K.H., Lee, B.S., Chung, I.B., Chung, W.T. and Choi, N.J. (2008). Effects of dietary energy intake levels on growth performance and body composition of finishing barrows and gilts. *Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science*. 21(10): 1516-1521.
9. Ding, S.T., Lapillonne, A., Heird, W.C. and Mersmann, H.J. (2003). Dietary fat has minimal effects on fatty acid metabolism transcript concentrations in pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*. 81(2): 423-431.

10. Ellis, M. and Augspurger, N. (2000). Feed intake in growing-finishing pigs. In: Lewis, A.J. and Southern, L.L. (eds.), *Swine Nutrition* (2nd Ed.). CRC press, Florida, USA, pp. 447-467.
11. Ewan, R.C. (2000). Energy utilization in swine nutrition. In: Lewis, A.J. and Southern, L.L. (eds.), *Swine Nutrition* (2nd Ed.). CRC press, Florida, USA, pp. 85-94.
12. ICAR [Indian Council of Agricultural Research]. (1985). *Nutrient Requirements of Livestock and Poultry*. Publications and Information Division, ICAR, New Delhi. 12p.
13. King, R.H., Campbell, R.G., Smits, R.J., Morley, W.C., Ronnfeldt, K., Butler, K.L. and Dunshea, F.R. (2004). The influence of dietary energy intake on growth performance and tissue deposition in pigs between 80 and 120 kg liveweight. *Australian Journal of Agriculture Research*. 55: 1271-1281.
14. Kyriazakis, I. and Emmans, G.C. (1992). The effects of varying protein and energy intakes on the growth and body composition of pigs. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 68(3): 603-613.
15. Lawrence, B.V., Adeola, O. and Cline, T.R. 1994. Nitrogen utilization and lean growth performance of 20 to 60 kg pigs fed diets balanced for lysine:energy ratio. *Journal of Animal Science*. 72: 2887-2895.
16. Liao, C.W. and Venum, T.L. (1994). Effects of dietary energy intake by gilts and heat stress from days 3 to 24 or 30 after mating on embryo survival and nitrogen and energy balance. *Journal of Animal Science*. 72: 2369-2377.
17. Llata, M.D., Dritz, S.S., Langemeier, M.R., Tokach, M.D., Goodband, R.D. and Nelssen, J.L. (2001). Economics of increasing lysine:calorie ratio and adding dietary fat for growing-finishing pigs reared in a commercial environment. *Journal of Swine Health and Production*. 9(5): 215-223.
18. Nam, D. S. and Aherne, F.X. (1994). The effects of lysine:energy ratio on the performance of weanling pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*. 72: 1247-1256.
19. NRC [National Research Council]. (2012). *Nutrient Requirements of Swine* (11th rev. Ed.). National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D. C., 400p.
20. Noblet, J. (2006). Nutrition of the growing pig: Adaptation of diet characteristics to animal and environment conditions. In: *Swine Nutrition and Management*. Technical report series. American Soyabean Association, Singapore, pp. 60-70.
21. Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1994). *Statistical Methods*. (8th Ed.). The Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA. 314p.
22. Urynek, W. and Buraczewska, L. (2003). Effect of dietary energy concentration and apparent ileal digestible lysine:metabolizable energy ratio on nitrogen balance and growth performance of young pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*. 81: 1227-1236.
23. Williams, N.H., Cline, T.R., Schinckel, A.P. and Jones, D.J. (1994). The impact of tartopamine, energy intake and dietary fat on finishing pigs growth performance and carcass merit. *Journal of Animal Science*. 72: 3152-3162.
